



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,196	01/12/2001	Susanne Vindekilde	3524/12	2676

7590 04/05/2006

BROWN RAYMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP
900 THIRD AVENUE
NEW YORK,, NY 10022

EXAMINER

WEISBERGER, RICHARD C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of 1-12,14, and 16-20 in the reply filed on 12/29/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the preambles relate to the same subject matter. This is not found persuasive because the inventions of claims 24-26 are distinct from that of group I. In claims 24-26, there is memory accessible by the central computer which comprises stored information of specific dealers or issuers. This feature does not apply to group I. In contrast group I can read on a Peer to Peer type network.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper 12.29/2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-12,14, and 16-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bloomberg Financial Markets Commodity News.

5. In response to the rejection over the Bloomberg Offering on Money Market (BOOM), the applicant asserts that the system was tested starting in September 1999.

Art Unit: 3624

The applicant also asserts that a public announcement regarding the availability of the BOOM system on the BLOOMBERG PROFESSIONAL Service (BPS) was displayed on the BPS at least as early as January 7, 2000; though the exact date on which the BOOM system entered non-experimental could not be readily obtained. The prior art was cited in the IDS filed January 22, 2004. While the document fails to include a publication date, the IDS indicated that the publication date is August 1, 1998. In addition, within the document are screen shots with dates as early as March of 1998. In response to this rejection, the applicant has presented no arguments disputing the that the reference fully anticipates the claimed invention. Accordingly, the rejection based on the reference is proper.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 571 272 6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard C Weisberger
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3624

